

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,537	08/20/2003	Dana Paul Gruenbacher	9345	6532
27752 7590 09/20/2007 THE PROCTER & GAMRI E COMPANY			EXAMINER	
	KENNEDY, SHARON E			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI,	, OH 45224		1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,537	GRUENBACHER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sharon E. Kennedy	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te. cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	luly 2007		
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	•	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims		·	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26 and 28-31</u> is/are pending in the	annlication		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awii iioiii consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8-26, 28-31</u> is/are rejected.		-	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
,	4		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 January 2007</u> is/ar		•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig . a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	} 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.	
·			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s\/Mail Date	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	.5) 🔲 Notice of t	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·	

Application/Control Number: 10/644,537 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

The drawings were received on July 16, 2007. These drawings are not approved. They fail to show <u>every feature</u> of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the following items must be shown <u>or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s)</u>. No new matter should be entered.

- 1. The substrate comprising a first layer and a second layer, comprising a web, wherein the web comprising a sack.
- 2. The web comprising a first layer and a second layer, or further comprising a third layer, the third layer juxtaposed to the first layer, the third layer comprising a cleansing composition of at least one surface of the third layer, the fourth layer in communication and juxtaposed to the first layer, the bonding sites, lines points, and areas, the container having a seal which is removed (claim 28), the assembly of the various impermeable layers, permeable layers, etc., with the appropriate drawing symbols as required by MPEP 608.02, IX.

In short, applicant must show the assembly of the article and the various layers.

Note the detail of drawings set forth in the prior art for guidance. No new matter is to be added.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1615

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 17-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rivera et al., US 5,084,559. Regarding claim 1, Rivera shows an impermeable, rupturable sack 50 containing a cleansing composition, which anticipates applicant's claimed rupturable reservoir. Applicant's inflatable bladder merely comprises a "substrate." This broad limitation is almost without structure, and is mostly defined by it's function as being "inflatable." Applicant does not qualify how inflatable it must be, and has not even claimed a gas evolving composition, accordingly, the examiner takes the position that the limitation is med by the Rivera blotter layer 24, for example. Regarding claim 2, note the additional various layers. Regarding claim 5, note Rivera embodiment 21. Regarding claim 3, note that packet 28 may be present in a plurality of pouches disposed along the sheet. These plurality of pouches anticipate an inflatable bladder (comprising one packet 28) or a rupturable reservoir (comprising another packet 28). Applicant merely claims that they are "associated." No specific structural relationship is supplied. Regarding applying additional cleaning agents to the layers, note column 9, lines 42-45. Regarding the third and fourth layers, the claims are so broad these layers could be any of the Rivera layers. Regarding claim 24, note Figure 6.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/644,537

Art Unit: 1615

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 8-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rivera '559 in view of Bergquist, US 2003/0064042. Rivera shows all of the claimed embodiments except for the effervescent material. Bergquist exemplifies that the use of effervescent materials is well known in the art. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the Bergquist effervescent material to the Rivera device in order to improve the cleaning efficiency.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1615

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 have been fully considered and are persuasive. This rejection has been withdrawn. Although applicant did not independently argue the merits of claim 7, applicant's discussion of the low impermeable layers have been instructive. The claim distinguishes over the prior art of record. Regarding the arguments against the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102, note that Rivera discloses the various embodiments as discussed above. Applicant's claims are so broad they read over the Rivera mop head. Regarding the arguments against the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103, applicant's arguments are narrower than the claimed invention. Further, it would be an easy matter to substitute or insert the Bergquist cleansing pillow in exchange for any of the plurality of Rivera pouches, or insert the cleansing pillow next to the cleanser packet.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/644,537 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon E. Kennedy whose telephone number is 571/272-4948. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on 571/272-8373.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Sharon E. Kennedy/ Sharon E. Kennedy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615